

		Trim: Jan – Ma	ar 24	
Maximum Marks: 50	Examination: ETE	Exam Date: 13/4	2024 Duration	n: 3 Hours
Programme code: 11			Class: SY	Semester/Trimester: VI
Programme: MBA-PT (FM)				
College: K. J. Somaiya Institu	ute of Management		Name of the department/Se Finance and Law	ection/Center:
Course Code: 217P10C607			Name of the Course: Infras	structure and Project Finance
Instructions:				
1. Question number 1	is compulsory.			
2. From question nun	nber 2 to 5, solve two questions.			
3. Except for question	n number 3, solve all other questions in	one workbook.		
4. Solve question 3 or	n the dataset provided.			
5. Write the interpretation	on in a spreadsheet only.			

Question No.											Max.
											Marks
1.	A company is consi	dering which of two	mutually exclusiv	ve projects it s	should unde	rtake. The	finance	director thi	nks that the	project with higher	10
	NPV should be chose	sen, whereas the MI	O thinks that the or	e with higher	IRR should	l be under	taken, esp	becially as	both projects	s have the same ini-	
	tial outlay and length of life. The company anticipated a cost of capital of 10%, and the cash flows of the project are as follows:										
								-			
		Projec		1	2	3	4	5			
		х	-200	35	80	90	75	20			
		Y	-200	218	10	10	4	3			
	1										
	1. Calcula	te the NPV and IRI	t of each project.								
	2. State, v	vith reasons, which	project you would	recommend.							
	3. Explair	the inconsistency i	n the ranking of th	e two projects	i.						
	4. What a	re the disadvantages	of IRR criteria?								
	5. How to resolve the ranking conflict?										
	6. What is	s the payback period	? Calculate the pay	back period	of both proj	ects.					
	7 What is	DCD2 Calaviata th	o DCD of both mo	ianta							
2	Susan Roger needs	to coordinate the or	ening of a new of	fice for her co	omnany in t	he city of	Denver	The activit	v time and r	elationshin for this	20
2.	project, as well as th	e total budgeted cos	st for each activity	are shown in	the followir	ng table.	Denren		,	endership for and	20
		Activity	Immediate	Tim	e (Weeks)	0	Tota	l cost (\$)			
			Predecessors								
		А		2			2200	)			
		В	А	3			5100	)			
		С	А	4			6000	)			
		D	B, C	2			3600	)			

		Е	С	3			2700			
		F	D, E	3			1800			
	(a) Develop a w	veekly budget for the	project using the ear	rliest start	times				1	
	(b) Develop a w	veckly budget for the	project, using the la	toot start ti	imas.					
	(C) Develop a w	is at here we can be a loss	project, using the la		d is a set of s and	- £ <b>1</b> - 9	C		h	
	susan Roger's pro	te cabadula and hude	over the past several	weeks and	a is now the end	of week of	. Susan would	their confict t	ime project's current	
	status with regard	to schedule and budg	get by developing an	appropria	ate table. Assum	e that all a	cuvines ionov	their earliest i	ime schedules. The	
	relevant data are si	nown in the following	g table.				. (2)			
			Activity	% comple	eted	Actual	cost (\$)	_		
			A	100		19	900			
			В	100		53	500			
			С	100		61	.50			
			D	40		18	300			
			E	60		17	755			
			F	0			0			
3.	You are given the fo	llowing particulars in 1	espect of HHT Compa	any:						20
		S	ales price per unit			Rs.15				
			Juantity sold			900000				
		V	ariable cost per unit			Rs.6				
		F	ixed cost			350000	0			
		Г	Depreciation			200000	0			
		<u></u>	ax rate			25%				
		L	ife of project			6 years				
		II	nitial investment			120000	00			
		S	crap value			400000				
		0	Cost of capital			16.5%				
	W/d		Vorking capital require	ement		150000	0			
	With respect to the c	the surfact started II	tent proposal answer th	he following	ig questions:		1		iii-ii-ii	
	T. Belore	e the project started, H	v consider Rs 20000 to o	ne of the m	ish flow calculatio	s to uo a pre n?	ammary surve	io determine ui	e project's reasionity.	
	2. By kee	eping in mind the rule	of project cash flow, H	HT Compa	any should not cor	nsider intere	st on loans in p	roject cash flow	calculation.	
	3. Previo	ously, the Fixed cost c	alculated by HHT Co	mpany was	s Rs.4500000, bu	t later on, i	t was revised t	o Rs.3500000 d	ue to the reason that	
	Rs.10	00000 was attributed to	o the project, which th	ne company	y needed to incur	even if the	company reject	ted the project. I	Do you agree that the	
	compa	any should not consider	Rs.1000000 in the pro	oject cash fl	flow calculation?					
	4. What	treatment should we gi	ve to the opportunity c	ost and proc	oduct cannibalizati	ion in projec	t cash flow cale	culation?		
	5. Perfor	m sensitivity analysis o	on NPV for the following	ing variables	es and find out the	most sensit	ive variables:			
	• Initial	Investment								
	Selling	g price								
	Variat	ole cost								
	Cost o	of Capital								
	<ul> <li>Fixed</li> </ul>	cost								
	Sales	Volume	maluria for the 1	miaa +- 1 - 1	atwaar B- 12	17 1 *	the verifield	t to ha D- 5	D. 7	
	6. Now p	late the NPV breakeyer	analysis for the sales p	rice to be be	etween Ks.13 and	1 / and for	the variable cos	t to be Rs.5 and	Ks./.	
	Selline	a price	i point for the followin	ig variables.						
	Variat	g price								
	Fixed	cost								
	Sales	volume								
	8. HHT	estimated that the proje	ct might pass through t	the worst ar	and best possible s	cenario as fo	ollows:			
		nput Variables			Base Case	Wor	st Case	Best Case		
		Probability			0.4		0.3	0.3		
	s	Sales price per unit			15		12	18		
		Quantity sold			900000	80	0000	1000000		
	v	ariable cost per unit			6		8	4		

		Fixed cost		3500000	3700000	3300000		
		Depreciation		2000000	2500000	1500000		
	-	Tax rate		25%	30%	20%		
	-	Life of project		6	6	6		
	-	Initial investment		12000000	12500000	18000000	_	
	-	Scrap value		400000	300000	600000	_	
	-	Cost of capital		16.50%	18%	12%	_	
		Working capital requirem	lent	1500000	1600000	1400000		
	Calculate expected	d NPV, standard deviation,	and coefficient of variatio	n, and generate a s	cenario summary sheet.			
4.	Answer any TW	VO						
(A)	Explain various	sources of project financ	е.					10
(B)	Explain various	structures of PPP.						10
(C)	On November 1	5, 2023, the Department	of Energy awarded Tele	estar a Rs.475000	contract for developin	ng and testing two waste	treatment plants.	10
	Telestar had spe	ent the last two years de	veloping waste treatment	nt technology un	der their own R&D a	ctivities. This new cont	ract would allow	
	Telestar to "brea	ak into a new field"- wast	te treatment.					
	The contract wa	as negotiated at a firm-fix	ked price. Any cost over	rruns would have	to be incurred by Te	lestar. The original bid	was priced out at	
	Rs.847000. Tele	estar's management, how	vever, wanted to win thi	s one. The decisi	on was made that Tel	estar would buy in at R	s.475000 so they	
	could at least ge	t their foot into the new r	narketplace.					
	The original esti	imate of Rs.847000 was	very rough because Tele	estar did not have	any good man-hour s	andards in the area of w	aste treatment on	
	which to base th	eir man-hour projections	. Corporate managemen	t was willing to s	pend up to Rs.400000	of their own funds to co	mpensate the bid	
	of Rs.475000.							
	By February 15	, 2024, costs were increa	asing to such a point w	here overruns wo	uld be occurring wel	ahead of schedule. An	ticipated costs to	
	completion were	e now Rs.943000. The p	roject manager decided	to stop all activi	ties in certain functio	nal departments. Howev	er the Structures	
	Manager strongl	ly opposed the closing ou	t of work order prior to	the testing of the	first plant's high-pres	sure pneumatic and elect	rical systems.	
	Structures mana	<i>ager:</i> "You are running a	risk if you close out this	s work order. Ho	v will you know if the	e hardware can withstand	the stresses that	
	will be imposed	during the test? After all	, the test is schedule for	next month and I	can probably finish th	e analysis by then."		
	Project manager	r: "I understand your con	cern, but I cannot risk a	cost overrun. My	boss expected me to	do the work within cost.	The plant design	
	is similar to one	that we have tested before	re, without any structura	al problems being	detected. On this basi	s I consider your analysi	s unnecessary."	
	Structures mana	<i>ager:</i> "Just because two p	lants are similar does no	ot mean that they	will be identical in pe	rformance. There can be	major structural	
	deficiencies."							
	Project manager	r: "I guess the risk is min	e."					
	Structures mana	<i>ager:</i> "Yes, but I get con	cerned when a failure c	an reflect on the	integrity of my depar	tment. You know, we a	re performing on	
	schedule and wit	thin the time and money	budgeted. You are settin	ng a bad example	by cutting off our bud	get without any real just	ification."	
	Project manager	r: "I understand your con	cern, but we must pull o	out all the stops w	hen overrun costs are	inevitable."		
	Structures mana	nger: "There is no questi	on in my mind that this	analysis should	be completed. Howev	er, I am not going to co	omplete it on my	
	overhead budget	t, I will reassign my peop	ele tomorrow. Incidental	ly, you had better	be careful; my people	e are not very happy to v	ork for a project	
	that can be cance	eled immediately. I may	have trouble getting vol	unteers next time	"			
	Project manager	r: "Well, I am sure you	will be able to adequat	ely handle any f	ture work. I will rep	ort to my boss that I ha	ve issued a work	
	stoppage order to	o your department."						
	During the next	month's test, the plant ex	ploded. Post-analysis in	ndicated that the f	ailure was due to a str	uctural deficiency.		
	Discuss the error	r in the project.						
5	ABC Chemicals	s Ltd is an established c	chemical company enga	iged in the manu	facture of resins & c	ertain special compound	d chemicals. The	20
	company now w	vants to venture into man	ufacture of agrochemica	als since the Gove	rnment has come up v	vith special subsidy sche	me for the same.	
	In order to take	advantage of the scheme	& increased market der	mand of the prod	ict, a detailed analysis	was carried out to unde	erstand feasibility	
	of the project.							
	Following detail	ls were derived from the	analysis for 5 years:			1		
			Assets Required		Rs. Lakhs			
			Land		1.91			
			Building		51.27			
			Plant & Machinery		346.08	]		
			Current Assets		146.19			
			Total		545.45			

	Means Of	f Finance		Rs. Lakhs			
	Promoters	Equity		90.00			
	Public Iss	ue		269.00			
	Long Term Loan		50.00				
	Working Capital Loan		106.45				
	Government Grant			30.00			
	Total			545.45			
					(Rs. Lakhs)		
Particulars		Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	
Net Sales		519.00	605.50	692.00	692.00	692.00	
Expenses		242.64	241.70	228.88	321.46	299.55	
Raw Material		59.34	110.86	173.12	81.85	82.16	
Consumable Stores		34.67	40.29	45.78	45.92	69.12	
Power & Fuel		4.00	4.40	4.84	5.32	5.85	
Admin Expenses		16.19	18.89	21.59	21.59	21.59	
S&D Expenses							
<ul> <li>the entire current assets would</li> <li>The Working Capital Loan at the entire of Working Capital Loan at the entire of Working Capital Loan at the entire of Working &amp; Plant, &amp; Machiner lakhs &amp; that of Plant &amp; Machine</li> <li>Land would be sold at book va</li> <li>Government Grant received is</li> <li>ABC Ltd would lose revenue of the entire of the enti</li></ul>	be realized at the he end of Year is oan is 20% p.a. d y would be dep hery would be R lue at the end of to be reduced fr f Rs.10 lakhs pe % ital of 15%, who a consultant to p	e end of Year 5 I would be Rs. 1 & will be repaid reciated on the s. 138.73 lakhs a ?Year 5 om the value of er year from exist ereas Internal Ra prepare a report	24 lakhs. The at the end of Straight Line at the end of plant & mac sting busines ate of Return on feasibility oint of view	e same at the end of Year 5 Method. Net salva 5 years hinery as per accour s by investing in the of the project is 23. y of the project whic	Years 2, 3 & 4 wou ge value of the Buil ating policies at the l above project 44% h should cover the f	ld be Rs.141.60 lakhs ding would be Rs.25.67 beginning of the project öllowing:	
The company has approached you as         a)       Projected cash flow state         b)       Following values based         -       Net Present Value         -       Profitability Index         c)       Debt Service Coverage I	ement from Lon on cash flows fr Ratio	g-Term Funds p om a Long-Terr	n Funds poir	t of view			
The company has approached you as         a)       Projected cash flow state         b)       Following values based         -       Net Present Value         -       Profitability Index         c)       Debt Service Coverage I         d)       Possible Risks associated	ement from Lon on cash flows fr Ratio d with the proje	g-Term Funds p om a Long-Terr ct	n Funds poir	tt of view			