

<b>Trim: Sep-Dec 24</b>		
<b>Maximum Marks: 50    Examination: ETE Exam    Date: 14/01/2025    Duration: 3 Hours</b>		
<b>Programme code: -1</b> <b>Programme: MBA</b>	<b>Class: SY</b>	<b>Semester/Trimester: V</b>
<b>College: K. J. Somaiya Institute of Management</b>	<b>Name of the department/Section/Center: Business Analytics</b>	
<b>Course Code: 217P01C513</b>	<b>Name of the Course: Optimization Models for Business Decisions</b>	
<b>Instructions:</b> <b>1.</b> There are 3 sections. Each Section carries 25 marks. <b>2.</b> Attempt any 2 out of the 3 sections. All questions within a section are compulsory. <b>3.</b> Make suitable assumptions if required and state them. <b>4.</b> Write all relevant answers and interpretations in your Excel sheet, with sufficient details in an easily readable manner to enable a fast evaluation of your answers. <b>5.</b> Keep saving the file every ten minutes or so. <b>6.</b> Make only 1 Excel file with different worksheets pertaining to each question. <b>7.</b> The naming convention for the file should have your roll number and name. <b>8.</b> Please follow the instructions of the faculty/IT staff on duty.		

Question No.	Section A	Max. Marks																																																																								
Q1	<p>Burnside Marketing Research conducted a study for Barker Foods on some designs for a new dry cereal. Three attributes were found to be most influential in determining which cereal had the best taste: ratio of wheat to corn in the cereal flake, type of sweetener (sugar, honey, or artificial), and the presence or absence of flavor bits. Seven children participated in taste tests and provided the following part-worths for the attributes:</p> <table><thead><tr><th></th><th colspan="2">Wheat/Corn</th><th colspan="3">Sweetener</th><th colspan="2">Flavor Bits</th></tr><tr><th>Child</th><th>Low</th><th>High</th><th>Sugar</th><th>Honey</th><th>Artificial</th><th>Present</th><th>Absent</th></tr></thead><tbody><tr><td>1</td><td>15</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>40</td><td>25</td><td>15</td><td>9</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>30</td><td>20</td><td>40</td><td>35</td><td>35</td><td>8</td><td>11</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>40</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>40</td><td>10</td><td>7</td><td>14</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>30</td><td>15</td><td>18</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>25</td><td>40</td><td>40</td><td>20</td><td>35</td><td>18</td><td>14</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>20</td><td>25</td><td>20</td><td>35</td><td>30</td><td>9</td><td>16</td></tr><tr><td>7</td><td>30</td><td>15</td><td>25</td><td>40</td><td>40</td><td>20</td><td>11</td></tr></tbody></table> <p>Assume the overall utility of the current favorite cereal for the first four children in the group is 70, and the overall utility of the current favorite cereal for the last three children in the group is 80. What is the product design that will maximize the share of choices for the seven children in the sample?</p>		Wheat/Corn		Sweetener			Flavor Bits		Child	Low	High	Sugar	Honey	Artificial	Present	Absent	1	15	35	30	40	25	15	9	2	30	20	40	35	35	8	11	3	40	25	20	40	10	7	14	4	35	30	25	20	30	15	18	5	25	40	40	20	35	18	14	6	20	25	20	35	30	9	16	7	30	15	25	40	40	20	11	11
	Wheat/Corn		Sweetener			Flavor Bits																																																																				
Child	Low	High	Sugar	Honey	Artificial	Present	Absent																																																																			
1	15	35	30	40	25	15	9																																																																			
2	30	20	40	35	35	8	11																																																																			
3	40	25	20	40	10	7	14																																																																			
4	35	30	25	20	30	15	18																																																																			
5	25	40	40	20	35	18	14																																																																			
6	20	25	20	35	30	9	16																																																																			
7	30	15	25	40	40	20	11																																																																			
Q2	<p>The Soft-Ad Company is planning for the next month an advertising campaign for one of its clients, Amco. Soft-Ad is considering advertising during sports programmes and during soap operas on TV. Amco has specified the following goals:</p> <p>Goal 1 Reach at least 20 lakh high-income-males (HIM)</p> <p>Goal 2 Reach at least 30 lakh medium-income-families (MIF)</p> <p>Goal 3 Reach at least 15 lakh high-income-females (HIF).</p> <p>The information about cost and viewers for each one-minute ad slots is given below:</p>	14																																																																								



	<div>Capital (in \$1,000s) Required in</div> <table><tr><th>Project</th><th>Expected NPV (in \$1,000s)</th><th>Year 1</th><th>Year 2</th><th>Year 3</th><th>Year 4</th><th>Year 5</th></tr><tr><td>1</td><td>\$141</td><td>\$ 75</td><td>\$25</td><td>\$20</td><td>\$15</td><td>\$10</td></tr><tr><td>2</td><td>\$187</td><td>\$ 90</td><td>\$35</td><td>\$ 0</td><td>\$ 0</td><td>\$30</td></tr><tr><td>3</td><td>\$121</td><td>\$ 60</td><td>\$15</td><td>\$15</td><td>\$15</td><td>\$15</td></tr><tr><td>4</td><td>\$ 83</td><td>\$ 30</td><td>\$20</td><td>\$10</td><td>\$ 5</td><td>\$ 5</td></tr><tr><td>5</td><td>\$265</td><td>\$100</td><td>\$25</td><td>\$20</td><td>\$20</td><td>\$20</td></tr><tr><td>6</td><td>\$127</td><td>\$ 50</td><td>\$20</td><td>\$10</td><td>\$30</td><td>\$40</td></tr></table> <p>The company currently has \$250,000 available to invest in new projects. It has budgeted \$75,000 for continued support for these projects in year 2 and \$50,000 per year for years 3, 4, and 5. Surplus funds in any year are reappropriated for other uses within the company and may not be carried over to future years. Further, the company has some limitations:</p> <p>a. The company wants to limit the solution to include no more than one of the three projects 1, 3 or 6.</p> <p>b. Project 4 involves a cellular communications technology that will not be available to the company unless it undertakes project 5.</p> <p>Solve the above model to maximize the total NPV while satisfying the requirements of the company.</p>						Project	Expected NPV (in \$1,000s)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	1	\$141	\$ 75	\$25	\$20	\$15	\$10	2	\$187	\$ 90	\$35	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$30	3	\$121	\$ 60	\$15	\$15	\$15	\$15	4	\$ 83	\$ 30	\$20	\$10	\$ 5	\$ 5	5	\$265	\$100	\$25	\$20	\$20	\$20	6	\$127	\$ 50	\$20	\$10	\$30	\$40	
Project	Expected NPV (in \$1,000s)	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5																																																		
1	\$141	\$ 75	\$25	\$20	\$15	\$10																																																		
2	\$187	\$ 90	\$35	\$ 0	\$ 0	\$30																																																		
3	\$121	\$ 60	\$15	\$15	\$15	\$15																																																		
4	\$ 83	\$ 30	\$20	\$10	\$ 5	\$ 5																																																		
5	\$265	\$100	\$25	\$20	\$20	\$20																																																		
6	\$127	\$ 50	\$20	\$10	\$30	\$40																																																		
Q6	<p>Investment manager Max Gaines has several clients who wish to own a mutual fund portfolio that matches, as a whole, the performance of the S&amp;P 500 stock index. His task is to determine what proportion of the portfolio should be invested in each of the five mutual funds listed below so that the portfolio most closely mimics the performance of the S&amp;P 500 index. Solve the above problem to obtain the optimal proportion of portfolio to be invested in each of the five mutual funds.</p> <table><tr><th>Mutual Fund</th><th colspan="4">Annual Returns (Planning Scenarios)</th></tr><tr><th></th><th>Year 1</th><th>Year 2</th><th>Year 3</th><th>Year 4</th></tr><tr><td>International Stock</td><td>26.73</td><td>22.37</td><td>6.46</td><td>−3.19</td></tr><tr><td>Large-Cap Blend</td><td>18.61</td><td>14.88</td><td>10.52</td><td>5.25</td></tr><tr><td>Mid-Cap Blend</td><td>18.04</td><td>19.45</td><td>15.91</td><td>−1.94</td></tr><tr><td>Small-Cap Blend</td><td>11.33</td><td>13.79</td><td>−2.07</td><td>6.85</td></tr><tr><td>Intermediate Bond</td><td>8.05</td><td>7.29</td><td>9.18</td><td>3.92</td></tr><tr><td>S&amp;P 500 Index</td><td>21.00</td><td>19.00</td><td>12.00</td><td>4.00</td></tr></table>						Mutual Fund	Annual Returns (Planning Scenarios)					Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	International Stock	26.73	22.37	6.46	−3.19	Large-Cap Blend	18.61	14.88	10.52	5.25	Mid-Cap Blend	18.04	19.45	15.91	−1.94	Small-Cap Blend	11.33	13.79	−2.07	6.85	Intermediate Bond	8.05	7.29	9.18	3.92	S&P 500 Index	21.00	19.00	12.00	4.00	7									
Mutual Fund	Annual Returns (Planning Scenarios)																																																							
	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4																																																				
International Stock	26.73	22.37	6.46	−3.19																																																				
Large-Cap Blend	18.61	14.88	10.52	5.25																																																				
Mid-Cap Blend	18.04	19.45	15.91	−1.94																																																				
Small-Cap Blend	11.33	13.79	−2.07	6.85																																																				
Intermediate Bond	8.05	7.29	9.18	3.92																																																				
S&P 500 Index	21.00	19.00	12.00	4.00																																																				
Q7	<p>Table below shows data on the returns over five 1-year periods for seven mutual funds. A firm’s portfolio manager will assume that one of these scenarios will accurately reflect the investing climate over the next 12 months. The probabilities of each of the scenarios occurring are 0.2, 0.2, 0.1, 0.3, and 0.2 for years 1 to 5, respectively.</p> <div>RETURNS OVER FIVE 1-YEAR PERIODS FOR SIX MUTUAL FUNDS</div> <table><tr><th>Mutual Funds</th><th>Year 1</th><th>Year 2</th><th>Year 3</th><th>Year 4</th><th>Year 5</th></tr><tr><td>Large-Cap Stock</td><td>35.3</td><td>20.0</td><td>28.3</td><td>10.4</td><td>−9.3</td></tr><tr><td>Mid-Cap Stock</td><td>32.3</td><td>23.2</td><td>−0.9</td><td>49.3</td><td>−22.8</td></tr><tr><td>Small-Cap Stock</td><td>20.8</td><td>22.5</td><td>6.0</td><td>33.3</td><td>6.1</td></tr><tr><td>Energy/Resources Sector</td><td>25.3</td><td>33.9</td><td>−20.5</td><td>20.9</td><td>−2.5</td></tr><tr><td>Health Sector</td><td>49.1</td><td>5.5</td><td>29.7</td><td>77.7</td><td>−24.9</td></tr><tr><td>Technology Sector</td><td>46.2</td><td>21.7</td><td>45.7</td><td>93.1</td><td>−20.1</td></tr><tr><td>Real Estate Sector</td><td>20.5</td><td>44.0</td><td>−21.1</td><td>2.6</td><td>5.1</td></tr></table> <p>A model needs to be developed for a risk-taking investor who is willing to take the risk of minimum 1% return under any given scenario. Solve the above problem using an optimization model for this investor whose objective is to identify the optimal proportion to invest in each mutual fund so as to maximize the expected return from the portfolio.</p>						Mutual Funds	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Large-Cap Stock	35.3	20.0	28.3	10.4	−9.3	Mid-Cap Stock	32.3	23.2	−0.9	49.3	−22.8	Small-Cap Stock	20.8	22.5	6.0	33.3	6.1	Energy/Resources Sector	25.3	33.9	−20.5	20.9	−2.5	Health Sector	49.1	5.5	29.7	77.7	−24.9	Technology Sector	46.2	21.7	45.7	93.1	−20.1	Real Estate Sector	20.5	44.0	−21.1	2.6	5.1	11	
Mutual Funds	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5																																																			
Large-Cap Stock	35.3	20.0	28.3	10.4	−9.3																																																			
Mid-Cap Stock	32.3	23.2	−0.9	49.3	−22.8																																																			
Small-Cap Stock	20.8	22.5	6.0	33.3	6.1																																																			
Energy/Resources Sector	25.3	33.9	−20.5	20.9	−2.5																																																			
Health Sector	49.1	5.5	29.7	77.7	−24.9																																																			
Technology Sector	46.2	21.7	45.7	93.1	−20.1																																																			
Real Estate Sector	20.5	44.0	−21.1	2.6	5.1																																																			